1	ERIC GRANT United States Attorney JAMES R. CONOLLY Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700		
2			
3			
4			
5	Facsimile: (916) 554-2900		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
7	United States of America		
8			
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:22-CR-16-DC	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE	
13	v.	TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; [PROPOSED] ORDER	
14	BENJAMIN JOHN SARGISSON, DATE: August 29, 2025		
15	Defendant.	TIME: 9:30 a.m. COURT: Hon. Dena M. Coggins	
16			
17	STIPULATION		
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and		
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on August 29, 2025.		
21	2. By this stipulation, defendant moves to continue the status conference until		
22	September 26, 2025, at 9:30 a.m., and to exclude time between August 29, 2025, and		
23	September 26, 2025, under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4].		
24	3. The parties agree and stipulate, an	nd request that the Court find the following:	
25	a) The government is continu	uing its efforts to access the data from a DVR of	
26	security camera footage that the defense has requested. It is the government's understanding that		
27	the data on the device is locked and the parties are conferring about the best way to access the		
28	data. The parties will need further time to extract the data, at which time defense counsel will		

28 //

///

determine whether or not further investigation is necessary.

- b) In addition, defense counsel is currently in a trial which is anticipated to run through the date for which the current status conference is set, adding to the need for a continuance.
- c) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case includes investigative reports and photographs, which the government has produced directly to counsel. There is also physical evidence in the form of the firearms in question, which the government has available for inspection, and will likewise make any other physical evidence available for inspection.
- d) Defense counsel desires additional time to review the discovery produced, as well as the anticipated discovery mentioned above, to consult with his client, to conduct investigation and research related to the current charges, to discuss potential resolutions to this matter, and to otherwise prepare for trial.
- e) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - f) The government does not object to the continuance.
- g) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- h) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of August 29, 2025 to September 26, 2025, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

1	4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the		
2	Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial		
3	must commence.		
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
5		EDIC CD ANT	
6	Dated: August 21, 2025	ERIC GRANT United States Attorney	
7	7	/o/ IAMES D. CONOLLY	
8	3	/s/ JAMES R. CONOLLY JAMES R. CONOLLY Assistant United States Attorney	
9		Assistant United States Attorney	
10	Dated: August 21, 2025	/s/ MARIO TAFUR	
11	Dated. August 21, 2023	MARIO TAFUR	
12		Counsel for Defendant BENJAMIN JOHN SARGISSON	
13	3		
14	1		
15	5		
16	5		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
2627			
28			
40	'		

1 2

8 ||

ORDER

The court, having received, read and considered the parties' stipulation and good cause appearing therefrom, APPROVES the parties' stipulation. Accordingly, the Status Conference scheduled for August 29, 2025, is VACATED and RESET for September 26, 2025, at 9:30 a.m. in Courtroom 10 before the Honorable Dena M. Coggins. The time period between August 29, 2025 and September 26, 2025, inclusive, is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), and B(iv) [Local Code T4], as the ends of justice served by granting the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **August 22, 2025**

Dena Coggins \

United States District Judge